

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-20 are pending in the present application. Claims 2, 5, 12, 18 and 20 have been amended herewith. Reconsideration of the claims is respectfully requested.

I. Objection to Specification

The Examiner objected to the Specification, stating that “filesystem” should be written as two words. Applicants graciously decline such request, as “filesystem” is a commonly known term to those skilled in the art, as evidenced by Attachment A attached hereto. In addition, and as further described below, the Examiner did not interpret “filesystem” to have its plain, ordinary and customary meaning in examining the present application, as required by MPEP 2111.01.

II. Objection to Claims

The Examiner objected to Claim 2, stating “are” should be changed to “is”. Applicants have amended Claim 2 accordingly.

III. 35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph

The Examiner rejected Claim 18 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter, which applicants regard as the invention. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

The Examiner states that “the eighth and ninth instructions” do not have proper antecedent basis. Applicants have amended Claim 18 to depend upon Claim 17 in order to provide proper antecedent basis for such terminology.

Therefore the rejection of Claim 18 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph has been overcome.

IV. 35 U.S.C. § 102, Anticipation

The Examiner rejected Claims 1-5, 8-13 and 16-20 under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as being anticipated by Widell et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2005/0055490 A1) (“Widell”). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

For a prior art reference to anticipate in terms of 35 U.S.C. 102, *every element* of the claimed invention must be *identically shown* in a single reference. *In re Bond*, 910 F.2d 831, 15 USPQ2d 1566 (Fed. Cir. 1990). During patent examination, the pending claims must be “given their broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification.” *In re Hyatt*, 211 F.3d 1367, 1372, 54 USPQ2d 1664, 1667 (Fed. Cir. 2000). The broadest reasonable interpretation of the claims *must also be consistent with the interpretation that those skilled in the art would reach*. *In re Cortright*, 165 F.3d 1353, 1359, 49

USPQ2d 1464, 1468 (Fed. Cir. 1999) (emphasis added by Applicants). Applicants will now show that every element of the claimed invention is not identically shown in a single reference.

With respect to Claim 1, it is respectfully submitted that such claim is directed to a system for recovering from a *filesystem* operation failure. The cited reference does not teach any type of filesystem, and therefore does not teach (or otherwise suggest) any type of system for recovering from a filesystem operational failure. It is commonly known to those skilled in the art that a filesystem is a file management system that is used by an operating system to organize and monitor files (see Attachment A; see also Specification page 1, 3rd paragraph). The cited reference does not even use the word 'file' or 'filesystem' in the entire text of such reference, and therefore does not teach any type of file or filesystem. Given the strict identity required of the test for novelty¹, a memory element conflict and associated actions as described by the cited reference does not teach or otherwise describe any type of filesystem² or filesystem recovery. Accordingly, as every element of the claimed invention is not identically shown in a single reference - specifically, there is no teaching of a filesystem³, whereas Claim 1 expressly recites "a filesystem" - Claim 1 has been erroneously rejected under 35 USC 102(e).

Applicants initially traverse the rejection of Claims 2-5 for reasons given above with respect to Claim 1 (of which Claims 2-5 depend upon).

Further with respect to Claim 2, such claim recites "change a second set of data for a second thread, said second thread associated with a second file of said filesystem". As can be seen, this claim recites a *second file* of a filesystem, which is in addition to the first file recited in Claim 1 (and which Claim 2 depends upon). The cited reference does not teach (or otherwise suggest) two files (a first file and a second file), each associated with a separate thread (a first thread and a second thread, respectively). Rather, such reference teaches that either CPUs or threads access a shared memory (page 2, paragraph [0029]; page 3, paragraph [0036]; Figure 1; Figures 3A-3B). A teaching of sharing a common memory, as per the teachings of the cited reference, does not teach two threads each accessing a different file (first file, second file), as claimed in Claim 2. Therefore, as every element of the claimed invention recited in Claim 2 is not identically shown in a single reference, Claim 2 is further shown to have been erroneously rejected under 35 USC 102(e).

¹ See, e.g., *Trintec, Indus. v. Top-U.S.A. Corp.*, 295 F.3d 1292, 63 USPQ2d 1597 (Fed. Cir. 2002) where a color printer did not anticipate a claim requiring a color photocopier.

² See, e.g., Attachment A attached hereto.

³ The cited reference describes recovery from shared memory collisions, and such shared memory is not a filesystem (see, e.g. Widell paragraph [0029], where the shared memory is stated to be a cache line, a variable, or an object in a source language). Distorting the definition of shared memory to also mean a filesystem is not consonant with the art to which the invention pertains.

Applicants traverse the rejection of Claims 8-13 and 16-20 for similar reasons to those given above with respect to Claims 1-5.

Therefore, the rejection of Claims 1-5, 8-13 and 16-20 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) has been overcome.

V. 35 U.S.C. § 103, Obviousness

The Examiner rejected Claims 6, 7, 14 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Widell et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2005/0055490 A1) ('Widell') in view of Carter et al. (US Patent 5,987,506) ('Carter'). This rejection is respectfully traversed for reasons given above with respect to Claim 1.

Further with respect to Claim 7 (and Claim 15), it is urged that the Examiner has failed to provide requisite motivation for combining the references in rejecting Claim 7. As stated by the Federal Circuit, "virtually all [inventions] are combinations of old elements." *Environmental Designs, Ltd. v. Union Oil Co.*, 713 F.2d 693, 698, 218 USPQ 865, 870 (Fed. Cir. 1983); *see also Richdel, Inc. v. Sunspool Corp.*, 714 F.2d 1573, 1579-80, 219 USPQ 8, 12 (Fed. Cir. 1983) ("Most, if not all, inventions are combinations and mostly of old elements."). Therefore, an examiner may often find every element of a claimed invention in the prior art. If identification of each claimed element in the prior art were sufficient to negate patentability, very few patents would ever issue. Furthermore, rejecting patents solely by finding prior art corollaries for the claimed elements would permit an examiner to use the claimed invention itself as a blueprint for piecing together elements in the prior art to defeat the patentability of the claimed invention. Such an approach would be "an illogical and inappropriate process by which to determine patentability." *Sensonics, Inc. v. Aerosonic Corp.*, 81 F.3d 1566, 1570, 38 USPQ2d 1551, 1554 (Fed. Cir. 1996). To prevent the use of hindsight based on the invention to defeat patentability of the invention, this court requires the examiner to show a motivation to combine the references that create the case of obviousness. In other words, the examiner must show reasons that the skilled artisan, confronted with the same problems as the inventor and with no knowledge of the claimed invention, would select the elements from the cited prior art references for combination in the manner claimed. *In re Rouffet*, 149 F.3d 1350, 47 USPQ 2d 1453 (Fed. Cir. 1998) (emphasis added by Applicants).

In rejecting Claim 7, the Examiner merely states:

"With respect to Claims 7 and 15, Widell as modified teaches wherein said second file comprises a directory page (Carter, column 30, lines 60-61)."

There is no reasoning given of why a person of ordinary skill in the art, confronted with the same problems as the inventor (maintaining filesystem consistency notwithstanding a filesystem aborted or incomplete operation; Specification page 3, last paragraph), would have been motivated to modify the teachings of Widell to include a directory page as described by Carter. Therefore, the Examiner has failed to provide requisite motivation for combining the references in rejecting Claim 7. Still further, a person of ordinary skill in the art would not have been motivated to make such modification since Widell is directed to shared memory collision processing, and has no concern or regard to accommodating changes made to a directory. Thus, the only motivation for making such a change *must* be coming from Applicants' own description in the Specification, which is improper hindsight analysis.

Therefore, the rejection of Claims 6, 7, 14 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 has been overcome.

VI. Conclusion

It is respectfully urged that the subject application is patentable over the cited references and is now in condition for allowance. The Examiner is invited to call the undersigned at the below-listed telephone number if in the opinion of the Examiner such a telephone conference would expedite or aid the prosecution and examination of this application.

DATE: September 27, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

/Wayne P. Bailey/

Wayne P. Bailey
Reg. No. 34,289
Yee & Associates, P.C.
P.O. Box 802333
Dallas, TX 75380
(972) 385-8777
Attorney for Applicants

- Home
- Feedback
- Comparisons
- About Us
- Contribute
- Downloads
- Press

Pick a Free OS

Linux

FreeOS Most Popular

- Most Popular stories
- Featured Stories
- Featured Categories
- Most Linux Stories
- Most Linux links
- Most Linux
- Most Linux
- Most Linux
- Most Linux
- Most Linux

Project: Linux » Articles »

The Linux filesystem explained

By Mayank Sarup <mayank@freeos.com>

Posted: (2001-01-03 10:08:44 EST by)

The first thing that most new users shifting from Windows will find confusing is navigating the Linux filesystem. The Linux filesystem does things a lot more differently than the Windows filesystem. This article explains the differences and takes you through the layout of the Linux filesystem.

For starters, there is only a single hierarchical directory structure. Everything starts from the root directory, represented by '/', and then expands into sub-directories. Where DOS/Windows had various partitions and then directories under those partitions, Linux places all the partitions under the root directory by 'mounting' them under specific directories. Closest to root under Windows would be c:.

Under Windows, the various partitions are detected at boot and assigned a drive letter. Under Linux, unless you mount a partition or a device, the system does not know of the existence of that partition or device. This might not seem to be the easiest way to provide access to your partitions or devices but it offers great flexibility.

This kind of layout, known as the unified filesystem, does offer several advantages over the approach that Windows uses. Let's take the example of the /usr directory. This directory off the root directory contains most of the system executables. With the Linux filesystem, you can choose to mount it off another partition or even off another machine over the network. The underlying system will not know the difference because /usr appears to be a local directory that is part of the local directory structure! How many times have you wished to move around executables and data under Windows, only to run into registry and system errors? Try moving

More Articles

- Kernel Links
- If I could be a Linux user
- Interview with Mark
- OpenOffice, the Office of the Future
- Redhat Linux 7.2
- GNU/Linux

Contents

Articles

- Basics
- Kernel
- Files
- System
- Comparison

Links

- Linux
- Linux
- Linux
- Linux
- Linux
- Linux
- Linux
- Linux
- Linux
- Linux

Linux About Linux

Print It!

Print this article

FreeOS Highlights

- Howtos (72)
- Reviews (20)
- Opinions (18)
- Interviews (8)
- News (3)

My FreeOS

 Nick:
 Pass:

Forgot your password?

Contact Us

c:\windowssystem
to another partition or drive.

Another point likely to confuse newbies is the use of the frontslash '/' instead of the backslash '\' as in DOS/Windows. So c:\windowssystem would be /c:/windowssystem. Well, Linux is not going against convention here. Unix has been around a lot longer than Windows and was the standard a lot before Windows was. Rather, DOS took the different path, using '\' for command-line options and '/' as the directory separator.

To live up matters even more, Linux also chooses to be case sensitive. What this means is that the case, whether in capitals or not, of the characters becomes very important. So this is not the same as THIS or This for that matter. This one feature probably causes the most problems for newbies.

We now move on to the layout or the directory structure of the Linux filesystem. Given below is the result of a 'ls -p' in the root directory.

```
bin/ dev/ home/ lost+found/ proc/ sbin/ usr/
boot/ etc/ lib/ mnt/ root/ tmp/ var/
```

/sbin - This directory contains all the binaries that are essential to the working of the system. These include system administration as well as maintenance and hardware configuration programs. Find lilo, fdisk, init, ifconfig etc here. These are the essential programs that are required by all the users. Another directory that contains system binaries is /usr/sbin. This directory contains other binaries of use to the system administrator. This is where you will find the network daemons for your system along with other binaries that only the system administrator has access to, but which are not required for system maintenance. repair etc

/bin - In contrast to /sbin, the bin directory contains several useful commands that are used by both the system administrator as well as non-privileged users. This directory usually contains the shells like bash, csh etc. as well as much used commands like cp, mv, rm, cat, ls. There is also /usr/bin, which contains other user binaries. These binaries on the other hand are not essential for the user. The binaries

`/bin`
however, a user cannot do without.

`/boot` - This directory contains the system map file as well as the Linux kernel. Lilo places the boot sector backups in this directory.

`/dev` - This is a very interesting directory that highlights one important characteristic of the Linux filesystem - everything is a file or a directory. Look through this directory and you should see `hda1`, `hda2` etc, which represent the various partitions on the first master drive of the system. `/dev/cdrom` and `/dev/d0` represent your CDROM drive and your floppy drive. This may seem strange but it will make sense if you compare the characteristics of files to that of your hardware. Both can be read from and written to. Take `/dev/dsp`, for instance. This file represents your speaker device. So any data written to this file will be re-directed to your speaker. Try `'cat /etc/lilo.conf > /dev/dsp'` and you should hear some sound on the speaker. That's the sound of your lilo conf file! Similarly, sending data to and reading from `/dev/ttyS0` (COM 1) will allow you to communicate with a device attached there - your modem.

`/etc` - This directory contains all the configuration files for your system. Your `lilo.conf` file lies in this directory as does `hosts`, `resolv.conf` and `fstab`. Under this directory will be X11 sub-directory which contains the configuration files for X. More importantly, the `/etc/rc.d` directory contains the system startup scripts. This is a good directory to backup often. It will definitely save you a lot of re-configuration later if you re-install or lose your current installation.

`/home` - Linux is a multi-user environment so each user is also assigned a specific directory which is accessible only to them and the system administrator. These are the user home direclones, which can be found under `/home/username`. This directory also contains the user specific settings for programs like IRC, X etc.

`/lib` - This contains all the shared libraries that are required by system programs. Windows equivalent to a shared library would be a DLL file.

`/lost+found` - Linux should always go through a proper

shutdown. Sometimes your system might crash or a power failure might take the machine down. Either way, at the next boot, a lengthy filesystem check using fsck will be done. Fsck will go through the system and try to recover any corrupt files that it finds. The result of this recovery operation will be placed in this directory. The files recovered are not likely to be complete or make much sense but there always is a chance that something worthwhile is recovered.

/mnt - This is a generic mount point under which you mount your filesystems or devices. Mounting is the process by which you make a filesystem available to the system. After mounting your files will be accessible under the mount-point. This directory usually contains mount points or sub-directories where you mount your floppy and your CD. You can also create additional mount-points here if you want. There is no limitation to creating a mount-point anywhere on your system but convention says that you do not litter your file system with mount-points.

/opt - This directory contains all the software and add-on packages that are not part of the default installation. Generally you will find KDE and StarOffice here. Again, this directory is not used very often as it's mostly a standard in Unix installations.

/proc - This is a special directory on your system. We have a more detailed article on this one here.

/root - We talked about user home directories earlier and well this one is the home directory of the user root. This is not to be confused with the system root, which is directory at the highest level in the filesystem.

/tmp - This directory contains mostly files that are required temporarily. Many programs use this to create lock files and for temporary storage of data. On some systems, this directory is cleared out at boot or at shutdown.

/usr - This is one of the most important directories in the system as it contains all the user binaries, X and its supporting libraries can

`be`
found here. User programs like `telnet`, `ftp` etc are also placed
here.
`/usr/doc` contains useful system documentation. `/usr/src/linux`
contains the
source code for the Linux kernel.

`/var` - This directory contains spooling data like mail and also the
output
from the printer daemon. The system logs are also kept here in
`/var/log/messages`. You will also find the database for BIND
in `/var/named`
and for NIS in `/var/yp`.

This was a short and basic look at the Linux filesystem. You do
need to
have at least this basic knowledge of the layout of the filesystem
to
fully utilize its potential. One good place to read about the
filesystem
is this detailed document at [www.pathname.com/fhs/1.2/fhs2d-
toc.html](http://www.pathname.com/fhs/1.2/fhs2d-
toc.html) that
specifies the standard structure of the Linux filesystem.

Linux Filesystem Hierarchy Standard
Exploring `/proc`
Filesystems HOWTO

Other articles by Mayank Sanjay

Current Rating: [7.81 / 10] Number of Times Rated: [395]

Rate It!

© 1998-2004 FreeOS Technologies (I) Pvt. Ltd. All rights reserved. [Privacy Policy]

WebHosting Provided By Interpole Solutions

